

REPORT NO. NADC-83076-60




**USN/USAF
ANTI-G-SUIT
CONSOLIDATION PROGRAM**

Jules Z. Lewyckyj
Aircraft and Crew Systems Technology Directorate
NAVAL AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER
Warminster, PA 18974

22 AUGUST 1983

FINAL REPORT



Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

DNC FILE COPY

Prepared For
NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND
Department of the Navy
Washington, DC 20361

83 12 20 064

AD-A136138


NOTICES

REPORT NUMBERING SYSTEM – The numbering of technical project reports issued by the Naval Air Development Center is arranged for specific identification purposes. Each number consists of the Center acronym, the calendar year in which the number was assigned, the sequence number of the report within the specific calendar year, and the official 2-digit correspondence code of the Command Office or the Functional Directorate responsible for the report. For example: Report No. NADC-78015-20 indicates the fifteenth Center report for the year 1978, and prepared by the Systems Directorate. The numerical codes are as follows:

CODE	OFFICE OR DIRECTORATE
00	Commander, Naval Air Development Center
01	Technical Director, Naval Air Development Center
02	Comptroller
10	Directorate Command Projects
20	Systems Directorate
30	Sensors & Avionics Technology Directorate
40	Communication & Navigation Technology Directorate
50	Software Computer Directorate
60	Aircraft & Crew Systems Technology Directorate
70	Planning Assessment Resources
80	Engineering Support Group

PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT – The discussion or instructions concerning commercial products herein do not constitute an endorsement by the Government nor do they convey or imply the license or right to use such products.

APPROVED BY:


P. J. GALLAGHER
CAPT, MSC, USN

DATE:

15 Nov 1983

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NADC-83076-60	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A136138	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) USN/USAF Anti-G-Suit Consolidation Program		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final
7. AUTHOR(s) Jules Z. Lewyckyj		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Aircraft and Crew Systems Technology Directorate Naval Air Development Center Warminster, PA 18974		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20360		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE 22 August 1983
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 21
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Anti-G Suit "G" Forces on Body G Suit High "G" Forces in High Performance Aircraft CSU-13/P Standardization of USN and USAF Anti-G Suits CSU-15/P		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) As a result of a Standardization meeting between the US Air Force and the US Navy, it was decided that a joint specification would be prepared for an Anti-G Suit. To this end, each service would evaluate the other Services Anti-G Suit and the best features would be combined. This report provides some of the background and a comparison of both suits. It provides a test program on the Air Force CSU-13/P Anti-G Suit to be conducted by NAVAIRDEVCEEN.		

DD FORM 1473

1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

S. N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

UNCLASSIFIED


SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
BACKGROUND	1
DESCRIPTION	1
OPERATIONAL TEST CONCEPT	2
TEST OBJECTIVES	2
PURPOSE & SCOPE	3
FLIGHT TESTING	3
SUMMARY	4
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	5
REFERENCES	5
APPENDIX A - Anti-G Garment Comparison Chart	A-1
APPENDIX B - Program Management Summary of Research and Development Efforts	B-1
APPENDIX C - Aircrewmen Questionnaire	C-1

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. Stature and Weight Ranges For Fitting Of G-Suit	3



A-1

NADC-83076-60

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

BACKGROUND

A consolidation/Standardization Meeting on an Anti-Gravity (G) garment was held at the Naval Air Development Center (NAVAIRDEVCON), Warminster, PA on 25 February, 1982 between the United States Air Force (USAF) and United States Navy (USN). The purpose of the meeting consisted of trying to prepare a joint, consolidated specification through the exchange and operational testing and evaluation of each others most current, service qualified Anti-G garment. Therefore, NAVAIRDEVCON will make an evaluation/comparison of the USAF CSU-13/P Anti-G garment and include its best features into the joint specification, just as the Air Force will make a comparison of the Navy Anti-G garment (CSU-15/P).

DESCRIPTION

The CSU-13/P Anti-G garment is used by the Air Force to give aircrew members flying in high performance aircraft enhanced protection from high-G forces. The garment is an air-inflated, constricting garment that is constructed of polyurethane-coated, nylon cloth bladder covered by an outer shell of light weight, 95/5 Nomex/Kevlar blend material (MIL-C-83429).

As stated in reference A, the bladders of the CSU-13/P series cutaway garment are inflated automatically by means of a metering valve during maneuvers involving positive forces of two or more "Gs." The pressure applied by the garment to the user's body is proportional to the "Gs." Positive "G" force is defined as a force acting on the body in a direction from head to foot. It is usually encountered when pulling out of a dive or in a turn while in the seated position. Symptoms of positive "G" forces (average values after ten seconds application) are: 1G-normal; 2G-feeling of being pressed in seat; 3G-impossible to get out of seat and difficult to move arms; 3 to 4Gs-gray out (dimming of vision and loss of side vision); 4 to 5Gs-loss of vision or blackout; 5 to 6Gs-unconsciousness. The anti-G garment provides about two G's extra protection. The resulting pressurization of the abdominal and leg regions ensures an adequate blood supply to the upper body and head by counteracting the drawing of the blood from the head and chest into the lower part of the body under high "G" forces, thus preserving circulation, visual activity and mental alertness. The bladders deflate when level flight is resumed.

The major differences between USAF (CSU-13/P) and USN (CSU-15/P) designs are as follows:

- a) CSU-13/P leg zipper closures are conventional and close in an upward direction, as well as an eye and loop at the top and a snap at the bottom to prevent fastener separation; the USN uses a quick-release type that separates in a downward direction.
- b) CSU-13/P uses a larger bladder size and a heavier bladder cloth of urethane-coated nylon.
- c) CSU-13/P has thigh take-up zippers, and accessories of a MC-1 knife pocket and checklist retainers, while USN has no requirements for these items.
- d) CSU-13/P attachment hose length is 17 inches versus 22 inches on the USN Anti-G garment.
- e) CSU-13/P uses hook and pile fasteners for thigh lacing covers while Navy uses a light nylon coil slide fastener.

- f) CSU-13/P has an age limitation of 12 months from manufacture to delivery of material versus 18 months for the USN.
- g) Contractor performs own first article test witnessed by Defense Contract Administration Service for CSU-13/P prior to delivery, versus private testing laboratory tests for USN units after delivery of the units.
- h) The CSU-13/P has a large extra long size while the Navy is considering a small short size for women.

NOTE: A complete comparison chart, between the AF CSU-13/P and Navy CSU-15/P Anti-G garment for all similarities/differences is given in Appendix A.

OPERATIONAL TEST CONCEPT

Since both suits perform similar functions, the CWU-13/P and its unique features will be operationally evaluated against current concepts applicable to Navy Anti-G garments. NAVAIRDEVCCEN proposed a Program Management Summary of Research and Development efforts that is shown in Appendix B. Intermediate and Depot level maintenance are not required for these tests. CSU-13/P garments may also be repaired by organizational level maintenance personnel in accordance with (IAW) USAF technical data (Ref. A). Defective garments that cannot be repaired IAW USAF technical data will be removed from service and returned to Aircraft and Crew Systems Technology Directorate (CODE 60335), NAVAIRDEVCCEN, Warminster, PA 18974. Logistics support for the CSU-13/P will be provided through NAVAIRDEVCCEN. The Navy has previously evaluated its own garment (Ref. B) which is reported in Ref. C.

TEST OBJECTIVES

1. Appraise the ease of donning and doffing the CSU-13/P as compared with the current Navy Anti-G garment.
2. Appraise the comfort and fit of the CSU-13/P as compared with current Navy Anti-G garment.
3. Appraise the capability of the CSU-13/P to provide G protection as compared with the current Navy Anti-G garment.
4. Appraise the compatibility of the CSU-13/P with other life support and aircraft equipment as compared with the current Navy Anti-G garment.
5. Appraise the durability of the CSU-13/P as compared with the current Navy Anti-G garment.
6. Appraise the selected design features of the CSU-13/P that differ from current Navy Anti-G garments.

PURPOSE & SCOPE

The purpose of these tests is to evaluate the operational effectiveness and suitability of the CSU-13/P to both the aircrewmen and the technicians. Results will be used to determine which design features of the CSU-13/P are acceptable for use in the USN/USAF consolidated garment. Acceptable design features of both the CSU-13/P and current Navy Anti-G garments (CSU-15/P) will be incorporated into a specification for a single garment, which will replace the individual USAF and USN specifications and garments presently in use.

The tests will be limited to the evaluation of 8 CSU-13/P garments. The tests should be conducted by aircrewmen on as many different types of Naval aircraft assigned to Naval Air Test Center, (NAVAIRTESTCEN), Patuxent River, MD as possible. Aircrew members and aircrew survival equipmentmen should evaluate the suits during routine flights and inspections. Durability can only be evaluated on a limited basis since hidden deficiencies may not surface during the 60 day assigned test period.

FLIGHT TESTING

Each participating aircrew member and Aircrew Survival Equipmentman shall be thoroughly briefed by NAVAIRTESTCEN personnel on the purpose of these tests. NAVAIRTESTCEN personnel will supervise all fittings and ensure that each aircrew member is properly fitted with the appropriate size garment IAW Table 1. Aircrew members flying in all Naval aircraft at all crew positions will evaluate the CSU-13/P during routine flying activities throughout the test period. At the completion of each test sortie, the aircrewmembers will complete an Aircrew Questionnaire (appendix C).

NAVAIRTESTCEN will assess the response of aircrew members to: (1) the ease of donning and doffing; (2) comfort and fit; and (3) G-protection offered by the garment.

NAVAIRTESTCEN will also assess the response of the aircrew members to: (4) compatibility with other life support and aircraft equipment (5) durability (6) various design features with particular emphasis placed on evaluating the design differences and problems with the suit.

TABLE I—Stature and Weight Ranges for Fitting of "G" Suit

	Stature Range (inches)	Weight Range (pounds)
Small regular	63.0 –67.9	131–160
Small long	68.0 –72.9	131–160
Medium regular	65.5 –69.4	161–190
Medium long	69.5 –74.4	161–190
Large regular	67.0 –71.24	191–220
Large long	71.25–75.4	191–220
Large extra long	75.5 –79.0	191–230

SUMMARY

All flight testing will be conducted in conjunction with routine flying activities. No mission will be scheduled solely in support of this project.

For planning purposes, each test participant should fly a minimum of five missions with the CSU-13/P. However, questionnaires will still be required if less than five sorties are flown. During the test, the project manager will monitor missions to ensure a reasonable number and cross-section of high-G missions are being accomplished.

Test garments will be inspected IAW USAF technical data. Preflight inspections will be performed by the aircrew member prior to each flight. The calendar inspections will be performed by Aircrew Survival Equipmentman prior to the test, every 14 days during the test, and at the end of the test.

A final NATC Report listing all the advantages/disadvantages of the Air Force CSU-13/P garment as compared to the Navy CSU-15/P garment will be issued after completion of the testing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author acknowledges the contributions and participation of the following (and the activities with which they are associated):

<u>Name</u>	<u>Activity</u>
Ron Borman	ASD/AESO, Wright Patterson AF Base
1st Lt. Mike Wilson	AS/ENE, Wright Patterson AF Base
Harold Bless	Sanders & Thomas, Inc., Horsham, PA
Harry Brooks	ASO, Philadelphia, PA
J. Rodrigues	NATC, Patuxent River, MD
David De Simone	NADC/ACSTD, Warminster, PA
Jon Harding	NADC/ACSTD, Warminster, PA
W. Zarkowski	NADC/ACSTD, Warminster, PA
Ed Boscola	NADC/ACSTD, Warminster, PA
Al Hellman	NADC/ACSTD, Warminster, PA
Sue Reeps	NADC/ACSTD, Warminster, PA

REFERENCES

- A. Technical Manual – Use, Operation and Maintenance Anti-G Cutaway Garment Types CSU-13A/P and CSU-13B/P dated 15 October 1976 with change 7 dated 31 March 81.
- B. Manual NAVAIR 13-1-6.7 Aviation-Crew Systems Aircrew Personal Protective Equipment dated 15 Aug 1979 with change 3 dated 30 July 81.
- C. NADC Report NADC-74016-40 of 17 January 74, Operational Evaluation of the CSU-15/P Anti-G Coverall by Marcia A. Bushenski.

NADC-83076-60

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

NADC-83076-60

APPENDIX A
ANTI-G GARMENT COMPARISON CHART
CSU-15/P AND CSU-13/P



ANTI-G GARMENT COI

CSU-15/P AN

NAVY				AF				NAVY			
ITEM DESCRIPTION		CSU-15/P		CSU-13/P		TRI-SERVICE*		ITEM DESCRIPTION		CSU-15/P	
GARMENT SIZES						LATEST USN & USAF ANTHRO- POMETRIC STUDIES & USAGE TO BE EVALUATED. NEW SIZING, IF REQUIRED		OUTERSHELL CLOTH		MIL-C-81814 ARAMID 2 X 2 TWILL 52 - 50 OZ/YD'	
SMALL SMT								COLOR		SAGE GREEN 1565	
SMALL REG		I		I				BLADDER			
SMALL LB		I		I				CLOTH		UNITHANE COATED NYLON 33 - 40 OZ/YD'	
MEDIUM REG		I		I				SIZE		SMALLER THAN CSU-13/P	
MEDIUM LB		I		I				SIAM TAPE		5'8 - 3'4"	
LARGE REG		I		I				SPACER MAT		TRILOR 6009-1-1A	
LARGE LB		I		I							
LARGE X-LB				I							
GARMENT ACCOM		6 SIZES		7 SIZES				HOSE DESIGN		FLEX HOSE WITH TRILOR INSERT	
HEIGHT		64" - 78"		63" - 78"				LENGTH		22'	
WEIGHT		125 - 212 LBS		131 - 231 LBS				REINFORCEMENT AT SUIT		BLADDER CLOTH	
FASTENER ARRANGEMENTS								SPACER SPRING		NOT REQUIRED	
WAISTBAND		MEDIUM HEAVY SLIDE FASTENER LEFT HAND SEPARATING IN DOWNWARD DIRECTION		EYE AND LOOP FASTENER AND MEDIUM HEAVY SLIDE FASTENER, RIGHT HAND SEPARATING IN DOWNWARD DIRECTION		RIGHT HAND SEPARATING. USAF CHECK REQ'T FOR HOOK AND EYES		GARMENT ADJ COMP			
LEFT LEG		MEDIUM HEAVY SLIDE FASTENER, RIGHT HAND QUICK RELEASE RIGHT HAND SEPARATING IN DOWNWARD DIRECTION		MEDIUM HEAVY SLIDE FASTENER, LEFT HAND SEPARATING IN UPWARD DIRECTION. SNAP FASTENER AT BOTTOM EYE AND LOOP AT TOP		USAF CHECK USN DESIGN (WITH USN SUITS)*		LOOP TAPE		BALLY RIBBON MILLS STYLE #1546 OR #2461	
RIGHT LEG		MEDIUM HEAVY SLIDE FASTENER LEFT HAND QUICK RELEASE. LEFT HAND SEPARATING IN DOWNWARD DIRECTION		MEDIUM HEAVY SLIDE FASTENER, RIGHT HAND SEPARATING IN UPWARD DIRECTION. SNAP FASTENER AT BOTTOM EYE AND LOOP AT TOP				LOOP CORD		MIL-C-81104	
LACING COVERS		LIGHT NYLON COIL SLIDE FASTENER, RIGHT OR LEFT SEPARATING		HOOK AND PILE FASTENER, TYPE IV MIL T 36320		ELASTICIZED, USN CHECK HOOK AND PILE		LACING COVERS		ELASTICIZED	
SHIN POCKETS		MEDIUM SPECIAL SLIDE FASTENER, BRIDGE TOP STOP. CLOSED BOTTOM STOP		SAME AS CSU-15/P		SAME AS CSU-15/P		WAISTBAND STIFFENERS			
KNIFE POCKET		NO REQUIREMENT FOR POCKET		SNAP FASTENER STYLE A CONSTRUCTION A, MIL F 10884		KNIFE POCKET		FRONT		NYLON DUCK CLOTH	
THIGH TAKE-UP		NO REQUIREMENT FOR THIGH TAKE-UP		MEDIUM SPECIAL SLIDE FASTENER, OPEN TOP STOP. CLOSED BOTTOM STOP		REMOVE		BACK		NYLON DUCK CLOTH	
CHECKLIST RETAINER		NO REQUIREMENT FOR CHECKLIST RETAINER		HOOK AND PILE FASTENER, TYPE IV MIL T 36320		USE PILE FASTENERS AS IN CSU-13/P		AGE LIMITATIONS ON MATERIALS		MANUFACTURE TO DELIVERY 18 MONTHS	
								FIRST ARTICLE TEST		TEST PERFORMED BY PRIVATE TEST FACILITY UNDER SUPERVISION OF NADC	

* USN SUITS FURNISHED
WPAFB BY NAVAIRDEVCE

** USAF SUITS FURNISHED
BY NAVAIRDEVCE B

A-1/A-2

①

CSU-15/P AND CSU-13/P



AF

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

Fig. 9

Fig. 10

Fig. 11

Fig. 12

Fig. 13

Fig. 14

Fig. 15

Fig. 16

Fig. 17

Fig. 18

Fig. 19

Fig. 20

Fig. 21

Fig. 22

Fig. 23

Fig. 24

Fig. 25

Fig. 26

Fig. 27

Fig. 28

Fig. 29

Fig. 30

Fig. 31

Fig. 32

Fig. 33

Fig. 34

Fig. 35

Fig. 36

Fig. 37

Fig. 38

Fig. 39

Fig. 40

Fig. 41

Fig. 42

Fig. 43

Fig. 44

Fig. 45

Fig. 46

Fig. 47

Fig. 48

Fig. 49

Fig. 50

Fig. 51

Fig. 52

Fig. 53

Fig. 54

Fig. 55

Fig. 56

Fig. 57

Fig. 58

Fig. 59

Fig. 60

Fig. 61

Fig. 62

Fig. 63

Fig. 64

Fig. 65

Fig. 66

Fig. 67

Fig. 68

Fig. 69

Fig. 70

Fig. 71

Fig. 72

Fig. 73

Fig. 74

Fig. 75

Fig. 76

Fig. 77

Fig. 78

Fig. 79

Fig. 80

Fig. 81

Fig. 82

Fig. 83

Fig. 84

Fig. 85

Fig. 86

Fig. 87

Fig. 88

Fig. 89

Fig. 90

Fig. 91

Fig. 92

Fig. 93

Fig. 94

Fig. 95

Fig. 96

Fig. 97

Fig. 98

Fig. 99

Fig. 100

Fig. 101

Fig. 102

Fig. 103

Fig. 104

Fig. 105

Fig. 106

Fig. 107

Fig. 108

Fig. 109

Fig. 110

Fig. 111

Fig. 112

Fig. 113

Fig. 114

Fig. 115

Fig. 116

Fig. 117

Fig. 118

Fig. 119

Fig. 120

Fig. 121

Fig. 122

Fig. 123

Fig. 124

Fig. 125

Fig. 126

Fig. 127

Fig. 128

Fig. 129

Fig. 130

Fig. 131

Fig. 132

Fig. 133

Fig. 134

Fig. 135

Fig. 136

Fig. 137

Fig. 138

Fig. 139

Fig. 140

Fig. 141

Fig. 142

Fig. 143

Fig. 144

Fig. 145

Fig. 146

Fig. 147

Fig. 148

Fig. 149

Fig. 150

Fig. 151

Fig. 152

Fig. 153

Fig. 154

Fig. 155

Fig. 156

Fig. 157

Fig. 158

Fig. 159

Fig. 160

Fig. 161

Fig. 162

Fig. 163

Fig. 164

Fig. 165

Fig. 166

Fig. 167

Fig. 168

Fig. 169

Fig. 170

Fig. 171

Fig. 172

Fig. 173

Fig. 174

Fig. 175

Fig. 176

Fig. 177

Fig. 178

Fig. 179

Fig. 180

Fig. 181

Fig. 182

Fig. 183

Fig. 184

Fig. 185

Fig. 186

Fig. 187

Fig. 188

Fig. 189

Fig. 190

Fig. 191

Fig. 192

Fig. 193

Fig. 194

Fig. 195

Fig. 196

Fig. 197

Fig. 198

Fig. 199

Fig. 200

Fig. 201

Fig. 202

Fig. 203

Fig. 204

Fig. 205

Fig. 206

Fig. 207

Fig. 208

Fig. 209

Fig. 210

Fig. 211

Fig. 212

Fig. 213

Fig. 214

Fig. 215

Fig. 216

Fig. 217

Fig. 218

Fig. 219

Fig. 220

Fig. 221

Fig. 222

Fig. 223

Fig. 224

Fig. 225

Fig. 226

Fig. 227

Fig. 228

Fig. 229

Fig. 230

Fig. 231

Fig. 232

Fig. 233

Fig. 234

Fig. 235

Fig. 236

Fig. 237

Fig. 238

Fig. 239

Fig. 240

Fig. 241

Fig. 242

Fig. 243

Fig. 244

Fig. 245

Fig. 246

Fig. 247

Fig. 248

Fig. 249

Fig. 250

Fig. 251

Fig. 252

Fig. 253

Fig. 254

Fig. 255

Fig. 256

Fig. 257

Fig. 258

Fig. 259

Fig. 260

Fig. 261

Fig. 262

Fig. 263

Fig. 264

Fig. 265

Fig. 266

Fig. 267

Fig. 268

Fig. 269

Fig. 270

Fig. 271

Fig. 272

Fig. 273

Fig. 274

Fig. 275

Fig. 276

Fig. 277

Fig. 278

Fig. 279

Fig. 280

Fig. 281

Fig. 282

Fig. 283

Fig. 284

Fig. 285

Fig. 286

Fig. 287

Fig. 288

Fig. 289

Fig. 290

Fig. 291

Fig. 292

Fig. 293

Fig. 294

Fig. 295

Fig. 296

Fig. 297

Fig. 298

Fig. 299

Fig. 300

Fig. 301

Fig. 302

Fig. 303

Fig. 304

Fig. 305

Fig. 306

Fig. 307

Fig. 308

Fig. 309

Fig. 310

Fig. 311

Fig. 312

Fig. 313

Fig. 314

Fig. 315

Fig. 316

Fig. 317

Fig. 318

Fig. 319

Fig. 320

Fig. 321

Fig. 322

Fig. 323

Fig. 324

Fig. 325

Fig. 326

Fig. 327

Fig. 328

Fig. 329

Fig. 330

Fig. 331

Fig. 332

Fig. 333

Fig. 334

Fig. 335

Fig. 336

Fig. 337

Fig. 338

Fig. 339

Fig. 340

Fig. 341

Fig. 342

Fig. 343

• TRI SERVICE RECOMMENDATIONS
AT 8 MAR 1962 MEETING HELD
AT NAVAIRDCEN

NADC-83076-60

APPENDIX B
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SUMMARY OF RESEARCH
& DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SUMMARY OF RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS

Program Element No.: <u>64264N</u>		Task Area Title: <u>Aircrew Life Support Systems</u>	
Sub-Task Title: <u>USN/USAF Anti-G Garment Standardization</u>			
Program Status:	On-going <input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed <input type="checkbox"/>	Planned <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Date: <u>2 Dec 1982</u>
Performing Laboratory/Center:		<u>NAVAIRDEVCE</u>	
Technical Coordinator/Phone:		<u>D. N. DeSimone (215) 451-2187/6/9</u>	
Project Engineer:		<u>J. Z. Lewyckyj</u>	
Contributing Laboratory/Center:		<u>Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio</u>	
Cognizant SYSCOM Code:		<u>AIR-5311</u>	
CNM Product Area No./Title:		<u>5/Crew Equipment and Life Support</u>	

1. Program Description		a. Objective	b. Technical Approach	c. Goals
<p>a. <u>Objective</u>: To provide both Naval and Air Force crewmembers in high performance aircraft with a jointly designed common anti-G-suit that incorporates the latest anthropometric data base available for a better fitting, more comfortable anti-G-suit.</p> <p>b. <u>Technical Approach</u>: Initiate consolidation efforts to arrive at a joint specification, which subsequently can be used by a single designated procurement agency to more effectively meet all service needs.</p> <p>c. <u>Goals</u>: To maximize the crewmember's inflight comfort and effectiveness and minimize cost.</p>				

2. Justification		a. Problem	b. Payoff	c. Risk
<p>a. <u>Problem</u>: The Navy and Air Force use similar anti-G-suit designs which are procured separately by each service, using two different specifications. Both provide equal protection and meet the same basic requirements. Depending on each services needs cost effective procurements and deliveries limited with a duplication of logistics and support.</p> <p>b. <u>Payoff</u>: Improved comfort and fit with compliances to Tri-Service consolidation requirements.</p> <p>c. <u>Risk</u>: The technical difficulties in meeting the objective are minimal.</p>				

3. Program Coordination		Other Navy <input type="checkbox"/>	USMC <input type="checkbox"/>	Army <input type="checkbox"/>	USAF <input type="checkbox"/>	Tri-Service <input type="checkbox"/>	Other: _____
--------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------

NADC-83076-60

Task Title:	USN/USAF Anti-G Garment Standardization	Date: 2 Dec 1982
-------------	---	------------------

4. Performer Funding (\$K)

a. Funding	To Date	FY-83	FY-84	FY-85	FY-86	FY	To Comp	Total
NADC		35.0	45.0	55.0	65.0		30.0	280.0
PFA(s)		25.0		20.0	25.0			70.0
Contracts(s)			50.0	40.0	20.0			110.0
Total		60.0	95.0	115.0	110.0		80.00	460.0

b. Contractors/PFA's	To Date	FY-83	FY-84	FY-85	FY-86	FY	To Comp	Total
NAEC Lakehurst NJ		15.0		20.0	25.0			60.0
NATC Patuxent River, MD		10.0						10.0
TBD			50.0	40.0				90.0
TBD (Tech. Man.)					20.0			20.0

5. Milestones

Start Date: October 1982

Completion Date: January 1984

Projected IOC: September 1984

EVENTS	FY-83				FY-84				FY-85				FY-86				FY			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Complete test plan	0																			
Conduct flight test evaluation		0																		
Prepare test report			0																	
USN/USAF Review meeting			0																	
Approval of recommendations			0																	
Prepare/approve ECP				0																
Prepare CCB					0															
Prepare S.O.W. for final design						0														
Negotiate and award contract							0													
Monitor contract								0												
Revise NAVAIR 13-1-6.7 Manual									0											
Flight test final design										0										
Prepare test report											0									
Finalize specs, patterns and dwgs.												0								
Revise ILSP & Verify 13-1-6.7													0							
DCN/Provisioning														0						

KEY: 0 Scheduled Event

0 Completed

6. Prepared by:

Jules Z. Lewyckyj

7. Approved by:

D.N. DeSimone

Task Title: USN/USAF Anti-G-Garment Standardization

Date: 2 Dec 1982

8. Progress/Accomplishments
New Start

9. Milestones (CFY Expanded)

FY-83

EVENTS

Complete Program Plan
Complete Test Plan
Initiate Flight Tests
Evaluation of Sizing Disparity
Verification of Required Hose Length
for USN A/C
Complete Flight Tests
Prepare Test Report
USN/USAF Data Review Mtg.
Approval of Recommendations from
Review Meeting
Prepare ECP
Forward ECP to NAVAIR

O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S
		0									
			0								
			0								
				0							
					0						
							0				
									0		
										0	
											0

Oct. 83

10. Resource Profile (\$K)

	CFY-1	CFY	CFY+1	CFY+2	CFY+3
a. Professional Man-Years		.3	.5	.5	.5
Military Man-Years					
Technical Man-Years					
Shop Man-Years					
Total Direct Labor Man-Years		.3	.5	.5	.5
b. Total Labor & Overhead		33.0	40.0	50.0	60.0
Materials and Travel		2.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Major Procurement/Contracts		25.0	50.0	60.0	45.0
Planning Estimate		60.0	95.0	115.0	110.0
Funds Available					

NADC-83076-60

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

NADC-83076-60

APPENDIX C
AIRCREW QUESTIONNAIRE

AIRCREW QUESTIONNAIRE

NAME/RANK _____ DATE _____
ORGANIZATION _____ AUTOVON PHONE NUMBER _____
AIRCRAFT TYPE _____ CREW POSITION _____
CSU-13/P NUMBER _____ HEIGHT _____ WEIGHT _____
YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WEARING G-SUITS _____
NUMBER OF SORTIES FLOWN WITH THIS G-SUIT _____ HOURS _____
SIZE OF CURRENT G-SUIT (SEE TABLE 1) _____

This questionnaire will be completed by each aircrew member at the end of the 60-day test period. The questionnaire should be completed only after referring to the flight data cards completed on each sortie throughout the test period. Use your current anti-G garment, commonly referred to as a G-suit, as a base line for completing this questionnaire. The following rating scale will be used.

RATING	DESCRIPTION
5	CSU-13/P is a considerable improvement over current G-suit.
4	CSU-13/P is a slight improvement over current G-suit.
3	CSU-13/P shows no improvement over current G-suit (equal).
2	CSU-13/P is slightly worse than current G-suit.
1	CSU-13/P is considerable worse than current G-suit.

1. Rate ease of donning and doffing compared with your current G-suit.

Rating _____

If rating is below "3," please provide comments. Other comments are also solicited.

COMMENTS: _____

2. Compare comfort and fit (too hot, pinching, uneven inflation of abdominal and/or leg bladder, proportional fit, etc.) in inflated and uninflated state with that of your current G-suit.

Rating _____

If rating is below "3," please provide comments. Other comments are also solicited.

COMMENTS: _____

3. Compare G protection with that of your current G-suit.

Rating _____

If rating is below "3," please provide comments. Other comments are also solicited.

COMMENTS: _____

4. Compared to your current Navy G-suit, how do you rate compatibility of the CSU-13/P with other life support, chemical defense (if applicable), and aircraft equipment?

RATING

a. Other life support equipment (list). _____

b. Chemical defense equipment (list if applicable). _____

c. Aircraft equipment (list). _____

If rating is below "3," please provide comments. In addition, if the CSU-13/P was flown with chemical defense or nonstandard life support or aircraft equipment that could affect the test, please comment below. Other comments are also solicited.

COMMENTS: _____

5. Compare durability (seam separation, fabric tears, stuck zippers, etc. due to aging, laundering, abuse).

Rating _____ No. Times Laundered _____

If rating is below "3," please provide comments. Other comments are also solicited.

COMMENTS: _____

6a. Compare the length and the design of the CSU-13/P air supply hose with that of your current G-suit.

Rating: _____

If rating is below "3," please provide comments. If additional length is required for certain planes comment. Other comments are also solicited.

COMMENTS: _____

6b. Compare the design of closure zippers considering such factors as ease of operation, direction of closure, quick release feature, etc. with that of your current G-suit.

Rating: _____

Other comments are also solicited. If rating is below "3," please provide comments.

COMMENTS: _____

6c. Compare the design of the outer shell considering such factors as weight, the leg pockets, velcro sizing, comfort zippers, MC-1 knife pockets, check list retainers, etc., with that of your current G-suit.

Rating: _____

If rating is below "3," please provide comments. Other comments are also solicited.

COMMENTS: _____

NADC 83076-60

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

	<u>No. of Copies</u>
CG HHC 22d AVN BN, Fort Wainwright, Fairbanks, AK	1
U.S. Dept. of the Interior, Office of Aircraft Services (Mr. Langdon)	1
H. Koch & Sons (J.A. Mulevich), Anaheim, CA	1
CONAX Corporation (S.J. Wojdan), Buffalo, NY	1
ILC Dover (R. Desrosier), Frederica, DE	1
W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc., Elkton, MD	3
Dayton T. Brown, Inc. (Test Laboratory Div.), Bohemia, L.I., NY	1
David Clark Company, Inc., Worcester, MA	1
Payne Inc., Annapolis, MD	1
Grumman Aerospace Corporation, Bethpage, NY	1
Lockheed Aircraft Corporation, Burbank, CA	1
United Aircraft Corporation, East Hartford, CT	1
General Dynamics Corporation, St. Louis, MO	1
McDonnell Douglas Corporation, St. Louis, MO	1
DTIC	12
Commander, NAVAIRDEVCON	23
(3 for 8131)	
(20 for 6033)	

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

	No. of Copies
COMCSL (DRDAR-CL/DRDAR-CLW), Fort Belvoir, VA	1
COMUSADARCOM (DRCNC/DRCDE-DG/DRCDE-DH/DRCDE-BSI), Alexandria, VA	3
COMUSACAC (ATZL-CAM-IM/ATZL-CAM-IC), Fort Leavenworth, KS	1
COMUSALOGC (ATCL-MPP/ATCL-MS), Fort Lee, VA	1
COMUSAADC (ATSA-CD), Fort Bliss, TX	1
COMUSAIC (ATSH-CD), Fort Benning, GA	1
COMMP CMLSCH TNGCEN (ATZN-CM), Fort McClellan, AL	1
COMUSASIG CTR (ATZH-CD), Fort Gordon, GA	1
COMUSA Institute for Military Assistance (ATSU-CD), Fort Bragg, NC	3
COMUSAARMC (ATZK-CD/ATZK-ADD), Fort Knox, KY	1
COMUSAEC (ATSE-CTD), Fort Belvoir, VA	1
COMUSAFAC (AFSF-CTD), Fort Sill, OK	1
COMUSAINCS (ATSI-CD), Fort Huachuca, AZ	1
COMUSAOC5 (DCD), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	1
COMUSAQMCS (ASTM-CD), Fort Lee, VA	1
COMDT USATSCH (ATSP-CD), Fort Eustis, VA	1
COMUSAFSC (SDNE), Andrews AFB, MD	1
CG USARIEM (SGRD-UE-ME), Natick, MA	1
COMUSATARCOM (DRCPO-ALSE/DRSTS-T), St. Louis, MO	1
MGR ARNGB (MGB-AVN-L), Edgewood, MD	1
DIR HQDA (DAMO-NCC/DAMA-ZC/DASG-PSP/DAMO-RQD), Washington, DC	3
COMUSAARL (SGRD-UAC), Fort Rucker, AL	1
COMUSAREUR 7th Army (AEAGC-AV/AEAGC-NC)	2
COMUSASC, Fort Rucker, AL	1
COMUSA 8th Army	1
COMUSAFORSCOM (AFOP-AV/AFOP-TAS), Fort McPherson, GA	1
COMWESTCOM (AFOP-AV), Fort Shafter, HI	1
COMUSAHEL (DRXHE-EA), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	1
COMHQ TAC/DRPS (Maj Grennard), Langley AFB, VA	1
COMERADCOM (DRDEL-CM), Adelphi, MD	1
COM Harry Diamond Lab (DELHD-N-P), Adelphi, MD	1
COMUSA Environmental Hygiene Agency (HSE RL), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	1
COMUSA AVRADA (DAVAA-D), Fort Monmouth, NJ	1
COMUSATECOM (DRSTE-AV), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	1
COMUSAAMSAA (DRXSJ-MR), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	1
COMUSALEA (DALO-LEI), New Cumberland, PA	1
CG USAARL (ATZQ), Fort Rucker, AL	1
CG HQ ASD, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	3
CG USAF 22, St. Louis, MO	3
CG HQ 5th Army, Fort Sam Houston, TX	1
CG USAACDA (TRADOC-ATCD), Fort Richardson, AK	1
COMDTNSRDC	1
CO USAAVS, Fort Rucker, AL	1
CG USAFF, St. Paul	1
CG HQ, TRADOC (ATCD), Fort Monroe, VA	1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Report No. NADC-83076-60

	<u>No. of Copies</u>
CNO (OP-506N)	1
COMNAVAIR (AIR-531) (AIR-4114A/-340B/-09E)	3
CO NAVAVSCOLSCOM	6
CO FASOTRAGRUPAC	2
COMNAVWPNCEN (6412)	5
COMPACMISTESTCEN (1131)	1
COMNAVSAFECEN	2
CNET (421)	1
CO MAWTS-1	1
CO ASO (TE044-A)	3
COMNAVAIRPAC (7212/-711) (Mr. B. Withers)	1
CO NAS (APTU), Miramar, San Diego, CA	1
CO COGARDAS, San Diego, CA	2
COMDT COGARD (Ofc of R&D/G-OSR-2/32 Cdr Setter), Washington, DC	3
DIR Canadian Armed Forces, National Defense Hqtrs, Ottawa, CAN	1
CG MCDEC (D09-2/M&L Div) Quantico, VA	3
COMLATWINGPAC (9733)	1
CO NAVAIRTECHSERVFAC	1
CO NAVAIREWORKFAC (3331), North Island, San Diego, CA	1
CO HHS 48	2
CO NAVAIREWORKFAC (321), Pensacola, FL	2
CO FITRON 301	2
CNAVRES (57)	1
CO FLECOMPRON 13	2
CO FITRON 302	4
CO HELSUPPRON 1	1
CO NAVREGMEDCEN, Portsmouth, VA	1
CO NAS (582), North Island, San Diego, CA	1
CG THIRD MAW (FMFPAC)	1
CG MAG 24, FIRST MAR BDE	1
COMFITAEEWINGPAC (81)	1
CNATRA (5113)	1
COMNAVAIRLANT (522E)	1
CO HELSUPRON 16	1
CO HELSUPRON 1	1
CO NAVAIRENGCEN (ESSD/9312)	1
CO MAG 31, SECOND MAW	1
CO NS (Branch Clinic), Brunswick, ME	2
CO NAVWPNSTA (3023), Yorktown, VA	2
CO HELANTISUBRON 84	1
CG SECOND MAW	1
CO MAG 26, SECOND MAW	1
OICC NAVSEASYSYSCOM (CCED)	3
DIR NASA, Houston, TX	1
OICC NAVCLOTETRSCHFAC	3
CO NAVIONICEN (D432)	1
COMUSANVL (DELNV-D/DELNV-SI), Fort Belvoir, VA	1